UNIVERSITÄT

Perspectives on Unsupervised Representation Learning

Computer Vision Group — University of Bern

Paolo Favaro

UNIVERSITÄT

Perspectives on Unsupervised Representation Learning

Computer Vision Group — University of Bern

Paolo Favaro

Supervised Learning

data

neural network



task

 $p(y \mid x)$





У



2

Supervised Learning

data

neural network



task

 $p(y \mid x)$





У



2

Supervised Learning

2



neural network





neural network attributes pretext-task



neural network





neural network attributes pretext-task



neural network

data



pretext-task

 $p(z \mid x)$

attributes neural network



neural network

data





attributes neural network



neural network





pre-training



neural network attributes













3



3



3



Why Unsupervised?

- Data privacy friendly
- Cheaper
- Scales better
- Labels can be unclear, noisy, limited
- Animal learning is often unsupervised







Why Unsupervised?

- Data privacy friendly
- Cheaper
- Scales better
- Labels can be unclear, noisy, limited
- Animal learning is often unsupervised







• What can we learn from a random (though arbitrarily large) set of images?



• What can we learn from a random (though arbitrarily large) set of images?



- What can we learn from a random (though arbitrarily large) set of images?
 - Not much unless we make some assumptions



- What can we learn from a random (though arbitrarily large) set of images?
 - Not much unless we make some assumptions

horse

• Shifting supervision from per-sample labeling to specifying data properties



cat

dog



- What can we learn from a random (though arbitrarily large) set of images?
 - Not much unless we make some assumptions

horse

• Shifting supervision from per-sample labeling to specifying data properties



cat

dog





- What can we learn from a random (though arbitrarily large) set of images?
 - Not much unless we make some assumptions

horse

• Shifting supervision from per-sample labeling to specifying data properties



cat

dog





similarity of data-augmented images



- What can we learn from a random (though arbitrarily large) set of images?
 - Not much unless we make some assumptions
- Shifting supervision from per-sample labeling to specifying data properties





- What can we learn from a random (though arbitrarily large) set of images?
 - Not much unless we make some assumptions
- Shifting supervision from per-sample labeling to specifying data properties





- What can we learn from a random (though arbitrarily large) set of images?
 - Not much unless we make some assumptions
- Shifting supervision from per-sample labeling to specifying data properties
 - More powerful than expected!





- What can we learn from a random (though arbitrarily large) set of images?
 - Not much unless we make some assumptions
- Shifting supervision from per-sample labeling to specifying data properties
 - More powerful than expected!
 - Sufficient to obtain interpretable representations such as: object segmentation, 3D reconstruction, viewpoint estimation, landmark detection etc





Unsupervised Segmentation

Real image

Our results

Approx. ground truth

Real image

Our results

Ground truth



Bielski and Favaro, Emergence of Object Segmentation in Perturbed Generative Models, 2019

Unsupervised Segmentation

Real image

Our results

Approx. ground truth

Real image

Our results

Ground truth



Bielski and Favaro, Emergence of Object Segmentation in Perturbed Generative Models, 2019

Unsupervised Viewpoint Estimation 3D Hypothesis, Intervention and Realism



Szabó, Vedaldi and Favaro, Building the View Graph of a Category by Exploiting Image Realism, 3dRR, ICCV 2015



original images along the diagonal





Unsupervised Viewpoint Estimation 3D Hypothesis, Intervention and Realism



Szabó, Vedaldi and Favaro, Building the View Graph of a Category by Exploiting Image Realism, 3dRR, ICCV 2015



original images along the diagonal





Unsupervised Viewpoint Estimation





Unsupervised Viewpoint Estimation







Szabo et al, Unsupervised Generative 3D Shape Learning from Natural Images, 2019





Szabo et al, Unsupervised Generative 3D Shape Learning from Natural Images, 2019



Unsupervised 3D Estimation



generatedgeneratedgeneratedimage3Dtexturebackground



generated viewpoints
Unsupervised 3D Estimation



generatedgeneratedgeneratedimage3Dtexturebackground



generated viewpoints

• The objective is to build features ϕ so that

is a good approximation of p(y | x) for several tasks (and corresponding labels)

- $p(y \mid \phi(x))$



• The objective is to build features ϕ so that

is a good approximation of p(y | x) for several tasks (and corresponding labels)

- $p(y \mid \phi(x))$



• The objective is to build features ϕ so that

is a good approximation of p(y | x) for several tasks (and corresponding labels)

- $p(y \mid \phi(x))$

pre-training



- The objective is to build features ϕ so that $p(y \mid \phi(x))$
 - is a good approximation of p(y|x) for several tasks (and corresponding) labels)
- would be a trivial solution), e.g., a shallow neural network

pre-training

Ideally, ϕ should be such that $p(y | \phi)$ can be "simple" (otherwise $\phi = x$



images are a small subset of all images)

*Similar concept as the global structure described by Van den Oord et al, Contrastive Predictive Coding, 2018

• One principle to design ϕ is to reduce the dimensionality of the data x while imposing the reconstructibility of x from ϕ (possible because natural



images are a small subset of all images)

*Similar concept as the global structure described by Van den Oord et al, Contrastive Predictive Coding, 2018

• One principle to design ϕ is to reduce the dimensionality of the data x while imposing the reconstructibility of x from ϕ (possible because natural



- One principle to design ϕ is to reduce the dimensionality of the data x while imposing the reconstructibility of x from ϕ (possible because natural images are a small subset of all images)
 - This leads to Autoencoders (and their variations, such as denoising AEs)

*Similar concept as the global structure described by Van den Oord et al, Contrastive Predictive Coding, 2018



- One principle to design ϕ is to reduce the dimensionality of the data x while imposing the reconstructibility of x from ϕ (possible because natural images are a small subset of all images)
 - This leads to Autoencoders (and their variations, such as denoising AEs)
- Another principle is to design ϕ such that it defines an ℓ_2 distance that is related to the *high-level attributes** of the data; with such features a simple classifier or regressor should suffice

*Similar concept as the global structure described by Van den Oord et al, Contrastive Predictive Coding, 2018





• What are attributes?



• What are attributes?



- What are attributes?
 - We consider attributes that are statistics of random variables that are based on a hierarchy of other simpler random variables \rightarrow this is what neural networks models build



- What are attributes?
 - We consider attributes that are statistics of random variables that are based on a hierarchy of other simpler random variables \rightarrow this is what neural networks models build
- The pretext-task allows to influence what attributes features should be invariant to and discriminate



- What are attributes?
 - We consider attributes that are statistics of random variables. that are based on a hierarchy of other simpler random variables \rightarrow this is what neural networks models build
- The pretext-task allows to influence what attributes features should be invariant to and discriminate
- Example: A simple local attribute is the color histogram; it is the distribution of single pixels seen as independent samples



• Original data







• Original data







• Original data





Images where the local statistics are the same, but the global ones are not





• Original data



Images where the local statistics are the same, but the global ones are not



Supervised learning features do not distinguish well between the two sets



Original data



Images where the local statistics are the same, but the global ones are not



- Supervised learning features do not distinguish well between the two sets
- Do we know what a model uses to solve a supervised task? \rightarrow Example shows that mid-range texture* classification is sufficient to solve the supervised task

*See Jenni et al, Steering Self-Supervised Feature Learning Beyond Local Pixel Statistics, 2020 and

Geirhos et al, Imagenet-trained cnns are biased towards texture; increasing shape bias improves accuracy and robustness, 2018





• ℓ_2 on features defines a new distance between images







• ℓ_2 on features defines a new distance between images







• ℓ_2 on features defines a new distance between images



How does the pretext-task affect t this distance relate to?

ance between images







• ℓ_2 on features defines a new distance between images



- this distance relate to?
 - The pretext-task builds features that can distinguish transformed

How does the pretext-task affect this distance and which attributes does

versions of the data \rightarrow these transformations define the attributes





Discriminative Self-SL methods



Defining the Feature Space



Discriminative Self-SL methods



Defining the Feature Space



Discriminative Self-SL methods



All Self-SL methods define some heuristic principle This is the Unsupervised Learning alternative to labeling

Defining the Feature Space

Aligning Self-SL methods







*D. Pathak et al, Context encoders: Feature learning by inpainting, 2016 G. Larsson et al, Learning representations for automatic colorization, 2016

 Features should allow the reconstruction of a data sample from its context or other transformed versions of that sample







*D. Pathak et al, Context encoders: Feature learning by inpainting, 2016 G. Larsson et al, Learning representations for automatic colorization, 2016

 Features should allow the reconstruction of a data sample from its context or other transformed versions of that sample







*D. Pathak et al, Context encoders: Feature learning by inpainting, 2016 G. Larsson et al, Learning representations for automatic colorization, 2016

 Features should allow the reconstruction of a data sample from its context or other transformed versions of that sample

• Can be related to denoising AEs \rightarrow Features are encouraged to be invariant to the added "noise"







*D. Pathak et al, Context encoders: Feature learning by inpainting, 2016 G. Larsson et al, Learning representations for automatic colorization, 2016

 Features should allow the reconstruction of a data sample from its context or other transformed versions of that sample

• Can be related to denoising AEs \rightarrow Features are encouraged to be invariant to the added "noise"

• Aligning Self-SL: Images which differ by the transformation used in the pretext-task are mapped to similar features









*Doersch et al 2015, Noroozi and Favaro 2016, Mundhenk et al. 2018, Noroozi et al 2018

• Features of object parts must be distinguishable from those of other parts within the same image









*Doersch et al 2015, Noroozi and Favaro 2016, Mundhenk et al. 2018, Noroozi et al 2018

• Features of object parts must be distinguishable from those of other parts within the same image



- the neural network architecture







• Features of object parts must be distinguishable from those of other parts within the same image

 Discriminative Self-SL: No explicit constraint to group features other than the dimensionality reduction due to



- the neural network architecture

*Doersch et al 2015, Noroozi and Favaro 2016, Mundhenk et al. 2018, Noroozi et al 2018

• Features of object parts must be distinguishable from those of other parts within the same image

• **Discriminative Self-SL**: No explicit constraint to group features other than the dimensionality reduction due to

• Alignment might occur due to other mechanisms: E.g., the network architecture might encourage some form of alignment or the jittering used to sample the parts might facilitate some transformation invariance



Changing Only Global Attributes





*S. Jenni and P. Favaro, Self-Supervised Feature Learning by Learning to Spot Artifacts, 2018 S. Jenni et al, Steering Self-Supervised Feature Learning Beyond Local Pixel Statistics, 2020

 Train a network to modify only the global attributes (e.g., missing face, disconnected limbs)



Changing Only Global Attributes





*S. Jenni and P. Favaro, Self-Supervised Feature Learning by Learning to Spot Artifacts, 2018 S. Jenni et al, Steering Self-Supervised Feature Learning Beyond Local Pixel Statistics, 2020

 Train a network to modify only the global attributes (e.g., missing face, disconnected limbs)


Changing Only Global Attributes





*S. Jenni and P. Favaro, Self-Supervised Feature Learning by Learning to Spot Artifacts, 2018 S. Jenni et al, Steering Self-Supervised Feature Learning Beyond Local Pixel Statistics, 2020

 Train a network to modify only the global attributes (e.g., missing face, disconnected limbs)

• **Discriminative Self-SL**: Features of real objects should be distinguishable from features of unrealistic ones



Changing Only Global Attributes





- attributes

*S. Jenni and P. Favaro, Self-Supervised Feature Learning by Learning to Spot Artifacts, 2018 S. Jenni et al, Steering Self-Supervised Feature Learning Beyond Local Pixel Statistics, 2020

• Train a network to modify only the global attributes (e.g., missing face, disconnected limbs)

• **Discriminative Self-SL**: Features of real objects should be distinguishable from features of unrealistic ones

• Conjecture: Features of images with different global attributes are pushed away from each other; no constraint exists between images with similar global





• **Discriminative Self-SL**: Features should allow the discrimination of rotated images



average face

S. Gidaris et al, Unsupervised Representation Learning by Predicting Image Rotations. 2018





• **Discriminative Self-SL**: Features should allow the discrimination of rotated images



average face

S. Gidaris et al, Unsupervised Representation Learning by Predicting Image Rotations. 2018





- **Discriminative Self-SL**: Features should allow the \bullet discrimination of rotated images
- What allows the identification of the orientation?



average face

S. Gidaris et al, Unsupervised Representation Learning by Predicting Image Rotations. 2018





- **Discriminative Self-SL**: Features should allow the discrimination of rotated images
- What allows the identification of the orientation?
- If orientation can be determined through local patterns (e.g., faces), then features only need to discriminate local patterns



average face

S. Gidaris et al, Unsupervised Representation Learning by Predicting Image Rotations. 2018



*Exemplar-CNN, SimCLR, MoCo, Deep Clustering, SeLa, SwAV Noroozi et al, Representation Learning by Learning to Count, 2017 Wang and Gupta, Unsupervised Learning of Visual Representations Using Videos, 2015

Aligning Self-SL: Pretext-task explicitly defines which images are similar based on data augmentation



*Exemplar-CNN, SimCLR, MoCo, Deep Clustering, SeLa, SwAV Noroozi et al, Representation Learning by Learning to Count, 2017 Wang and Gupta, Unsupervised Learning of Visual Representations Using Videos, 2015

Aligning Self-SL: Pretext-task explicitly defines which images are similar based on data augmentation





*Exemplar-CNN, SimCLR, MoCo, Deep Clustering, SeLa, SwAV Noroozi et al, Representation Learning by Learning to Count, 2017 Wang and Gupta, Unsupervised Learning of Visual Representations Using Videos, 2015

Aligning Self-SL: Pretext-task explicitly defines which images are similar based on data augmentation

• Other mechanisms to separate features (e.g., entropy) or simply separate each instance from all other data)





*Exemplar-CNN, SimCLR, MoCo, Deep Clustering, SeLa, SwAV Noroozi et al, Representation Learning by Learning to Count, 2017 Wang and Gupta, Unsupervised Learning of Visual Representations Using Videos, 2015

Aligning Self-SL: Pretext-task explicitly defines which images are similar based on data augmentation

• Other mechanisms to separate features (e.g., entropy) or simply separate each instance from all other data)

 Network and optimization design provide non trivial performance boost (e.g., large minibatches, contrastive learning, additional network "head")



Impact of the Model Architecture

• The network architecture (hierarchy) is also important

 SimCLR seems to benefit from deep models and long training more than SL

Chen et al, A Simple Framework for Contrastive Learning of Visual Representations, 2020





Impact of the Model Architecture

• The network architecture (hierarchy) is also important

 SimCLR seems to benefit from deep models and long training more than SL

Chen et al, A Simple Framework for Contrastive Learning of Visual Representations, 2020





 As shown in the lottery ticket papers* how we handle the weight initialization may play a big role in the final features

*Frankle and Carbin, The Lottery Ticket Hypothesis: Finding Sparse, Trainable Neural Networks, 2015



 As shown in the lottery ticket papers* how we handle the weight initialization may play a big role in the final features

*Frankle and Carbin, The Lottery Ticket Hypothesis: Finding Sparse, Trainable Neural Networks, 2015



- As shown in the lottery ticket papers* how we handle the weight initialization may play a big role in the final features
 - the weights by adapting to the initialization of the network

*Frankle and Carbin, The Lottery Ticket Hypothesis: Finding Sparse, Trainable Neural Networks, 2015

For example, SeLa or DeepCluster might favor a better optimization of



- As shown in the lottery ticket papers* how we handle the weight initialization may play a big role in the final features
 - the weights by adapting to the initialization of the network
- and Uniformity on the Hypersphere, 2020)

*Frankle and Carbin, The Lottery Ticket Hypothesis: Finding Sparse, Trainable Neural Networks, 2015

For example, SeLa or DeepCluster might favor a better optimization of

• Losses alone may also play a role (see e.g., the comparison by Khosla et al, Supervised Contrastive Learning, 2020 and analysis of Wang and Isola, Understanding Contrastive Representation Learning through Alignment



Conclusions

- SelfSL has made a drastic progress and now shows already better performance than SL pretraining in several transfer tasks

- multi task learning) is a plausible direction (see also Feng et al, 2019)

• There are several factors that seem to influence the quality of learned features: pretext-task, neural network model, choice of losses, and training settings

 We also should probably move away from comparing to supervised learning features as they may not be the golden standard (e.g., mid-range attributes)

Probably a combination of both discriminative and aligning principles (through



Conclusions

- SelfSL has made a drastic progress and now shows already better performance than SL pretraining in several transfer tasks

- multi task learning) is a plausible direction (see also Feng et al, 2019)

• There are several factors that seem to influence the quality of learned features: pretext-task, neural network model, choice of losses, and training settings

 We also should probably move away from comparing to supervised learning features as they may not be the golden standard (e.g., mid-range attributes)

Probably a combination of both discriminative and aligning principles (through

